

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO	. F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/775,217		02/01/2001	Brian S. Hilbush	98,430	9069
20306	7590	11/27/2001			
		EHNEN HULBER	EXAMINER		
300 SOUT SUITE 320	0		FREDMAN, JEFFREY NORMAN		
CHICAGO, IL 60606				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				1655	
				DATE MAILED: 11/27/2001	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No. **09/775,217**

Applicant(s)

Hilbush et al

Examiner

Jeffrey Fredman

Art Unit **1655**

	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears	on the cover sheet with the correspondence address
	for Reply	
THE	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	
af - If the be - If NO co - Failui	ter SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communic period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days considered timely. period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory mmunication. The to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by	FR 1.136 (a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed cation. If a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). It is mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any
ea	rned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	s maning also of the communication, even in timoly mod, may reduce any
Status	December to the second settle to the december of the december	
1) 🗆	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	
2a) 🗌	This action is FINAL . 2b) \square This action	tion is non-final.
3) 🗆	Since this application is in condition for allowance closed in accordance with the practice under $Ex\ pa$	except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is arte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.
Disposi	tion of Claims	
4) 💢	Claim(s) <u>1-74</u>	is/are pending in the application.
4	la) Of the above, claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from consideration.
5) 🗆	Claim(s)	is/are allowed.
6) 🗆	Claim(s)	
7) 🗆	Claim(s)	
8) 💢		are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.
	tion Papers	
	The specification is objected to by the Examiner.	
10) 🗆	The drawing(s) filed on is/are	objected to by the Examiner.
11)□	The proposed drawing correction filed on	
12)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exam	
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119	
	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign p	riority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d).
	All b)□ Some* c)□ None of:	
	1. \square Certified copies of the priority documents have	ve been received.
	2. \square Certified copies of the priority documents have	re been received in Application No
	application from the International Bure	·
—	ee the attached detailed Office action for a list of th	
14)∟	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).
Attachm	ent(s)	
15) 🔲 N	otice of References Cited (PTO-892)	18) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s).
	otice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	19) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
17) 📙 In	formation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	20) Other:

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 09/775,217

Art Unit: 1655

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restriction

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - I. Claims 1-63, drawn to a method of sequence specific mRNA detection, classified in class 435, subclass 6.
 - II. Claims 64-66, drawn to a set of primers, classified in class 536, subclass 24.3.
 - III. Claims 67-74, drawn to a computer analysis system, classified in class 702, subclass 19.
- 2. The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons: Inventions in Group II and in Group I are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case, the primers can be used in the RNA analysis method of Group I, in differential display methods, in PCR amplification methods, in hybridization detection methods, in nucleic acid purification methods or in mutagenesis methods.
- 3. Inventions in Group I and in Group III are related as process and apparatus for its practice. The inventions are distinct if it can be shown that either: (1) the process as claimed can be practiced by another materially different apparatus or by hand, or (2) the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process. (MPEP § 806.05(e)). In this

Application/Control Number: 09/775,217

Art Unit: 1655

case, the process can be performed entirely by hand and separately, the apparatus reads on a general purpose computer which can be used for writing legal briefs, playing computer games or performing scientific analysis.

- 4. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 5. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:

Anchor primers - select one of SEQ ID Nos: 1-3.

Adaptor primers - select one of SEQ ID Nos: 7-14

3' PCR primers - select one of SEQ ID Nos: 5-6.

5' PCR primers - select two of SEQ ID Nos: 17-24, one for a first primer and one for a second primer.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claim 1 is generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Application/Control Number: 09/775,217

Art Unit: 1655

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

6. A telephone call was made to Roger Zimmerman on November 21, 2001, to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

7. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a petition under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Application/Control Number: 09/775,217 Page 5

Art Unit: 1655

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner

should be directed to Jeffrey Fredman, Ph.D. whose telephone number is (703) 308-6568.

The examiner is normally in the office between the hours of 6:30 a.m. and 4:00 p.m., and

telephone calls either in the early morning or the afternoon are most likely to find the examiner in

the office.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor,

W. Gary Jones, can be reached on (703) 308-1152.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be

directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0196.

Papers related to this application may be submitted to Technology Center 1600 by

facsimile transmission via the P.T.O. Fax Center located in Crystal Mall 1. The CM1 Fax Center

numbers for Technology Center 1600 are either (703) 305-3014 or (703) 308-4242. Please note

that the faxing of such papers must conform with the Notice to Comply published in the Official

Gazette, 1096 OG 30 (November 15, 1989).

Jeffrey Fredman Primary Patent Examiner

Art Unit 1655

November 21, 2001